Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/652,003	SESEK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sheela C. Chawa	n 2624	
All Participants: Status of Application:			
(1) <u>Sheela C. Chawan</u> .	(3) <i>Mr. David</i>	1 Thompson (Reg # 37,954).	
(2)	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>5 February 2007</u>	Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Market ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ Market ☐ Yes	Applicant's representativ	e)	
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: Claim 1 and 35.			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A	·		
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Amend claim 1 and 35, see details in Examine's Amen		WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
·			
Sheel Chan			
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	Applicant/Applicant's Repr	esentative Signature – if app	ropriate)

Annlicont(o)